

The Study of EADAS Elliptical Steel Damper Function in Seismic Resisting of Steel Frames

*Mehdi Najari Varzaneh¹

- 1 . * M.Sc in Structural Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty Member and the Instructor of Ragheb Esfahani University.

Corresponding Author email : najari.mehdi@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT: The present article seek to investigate the function of elliptical steel damper function in steel frames seismic resisting. The elliptical damper is a kind of earthquake energy dissipater in structure which acts based on the steel yielding feature. Thus, this damper in frame lateral displacement mechanism is expected to have a satisfying hysteretic behavior in terms of energy absorption is on acceptable level. To study the EADAS (elliptical added damping and stiffness) elliptical damper function Abaqus software was used in seismic resisting of steel frames. To do the analysis one span- one floor which was modeled with eight kinds of suggested damper was used. The basis of the analysis method is based on the finite element method and a hysteresis loop was used and the frame will be pushed to the failure of the dampers. The results of the present study indicates that through the addition of this damper with the damage focus in damper the structure elements remain in elastic zone and without any damage bear the lateral load. The damper causes an increase in ductility and the frame energy absorption hysteretic and it can be used for seismic resisting of steel frames.

Keywords: Elliptical damper, Hysteretic Energy, Seismic Resisting, hysteresis curve

INTRODUCTION

Earthquake is one of the most well-known natural disasters which causes that many researchers do some research and investigation on it each and every year in order to access Considerable progresses in this field but human knowledge in earthquake prediction either in terms of time and intensity is not still able to comment on carefully. Today, many researchers in the world in order to control structural vibrations against the earthquake force they have focused on input energy dissipation systems which also focused on non-linear deformation during earthquake in these systems which help the repair and optimization of structures[1]. Dampers belong to the category of passive control equipments and increase the structure damping and also control the response and the reduction of the seismic need and their most conspicuous feature is the ease of control and manufacturing due to the lack of high complexity in form and manufacturing technology and also the ease of changing these kinds of dampers after a severe earthquake is because of their damage[2]. The use of energy absorption dampers in structure seismic control during the earthquake has been noted for years[3]. The yielding metal dampers on self-focusing damage, other structural components kept in the elastic zone and reduce the structural dynamic responses[4]. And they are also adequate equipments for seismic resisting of structures. And with low expenses and high- speed performance, the seismic behavior of structures will be improved[5]. Since the 1980s, many mechanisms have been introduced, fabricated and tested as a yielding metal damper[6] and some of them like ADAS and TADAS are commercial[7].

The use of EADAS metal elliptical damper in frames resisting

To use the elliptical damper in frames seismic resisting several options are suggested. Figure (1) is one of the suggested options and show how to use and install EADAS damper in the frame and the deformation mechanism in the frame equipped with this damper is in the form which during the earthquake and with the movement of the ground in one direction, the floors of the structure shift to other place and the ring will be deformed as much as the shift in place in the same floor. With the change in the direction of earthquake force and the direction of the change in the place of the floor, the deformation of the ring is changed and the ring will be rotated to other direction. This point should be considered that the ring deformation in cyclic loads is the same as each other that this is one of the advantages of this damper usage.




Figure 1. Placement of the damper in the braced frame

Software studies

In order to investigate figure (1) frame behavior and to clarify the application of designed damper in its seismic resisting, software studies have been used. Firstly, figure (1) frame was analyzed and designed by SAP2000 software [8] and the results of the design are presented in table (1). To study the damper application in the above frame, 8 kinds of damper which its features in figure (2) and table (2) are presented has been used. The frame has been modeled by this damper through Abaqus software [9] and has been analyzed through finite element.

Table 1. The features of the frame under study

Section type	Beam	Columns(mm)	Braces(mm)	Floor height(m)	Column Span(m)
Dimension	IPE 400	BOX 300*300*20	BOX 140*140*8	3.2	4.5




Figure 2. Proposed model

Table 2. EADAS Dampers' Dimensions

Damper type	The Geometrical Properties				
	D ₁ (cm)	D ₂ (cm)	t ₁ (cm)	t ₂ (cm)	L (cm)
E ₁	20	10	0.5	1	40
E ₂	20	10	1	1	40
E ₃	20	15	0.5	1	40
E ₄	20	15	1	1	40
E ₅	30	15	0.5	1	40
E ₆	30	15	1	1	40
E ₇	30	20	0.5	1	40
E ₈	30	20	1	1	40

How to model with Abaqus software

To analyze this frame the Abaqus V 6.8.1 finite element software has been used. In order to model the frame in the above software in the above software act as it follows: The beam with the length of 60cm in solid 3D has been meshed. For modeling the joined plates of the damper to braces solid 3D element has been used and the mesh of 2mm is considered for it. A brace with the length of 15cms with 3D solid element with 2mm mesh has been modeled. In modeling beam and column the 3D wire

element with section features of beam have been used and also for modeling braces 3D wire element with section features of Truss which works only in tension and compress has been used. To mesh, four-binding elements have been used. To connect beam to solid element rigid Connection is used to have a more unifying beam behavior in reciprocal loading. A joint is considered in the connection of the end of columns to the end of the beams. In the connection point of solid braces and wire Truss braces, rigid Connection has been used to make changes in shapes, places and times in the connection point of solid element to Truss element the same and virtually the brace member will behave unifyingly. To connect brace to the column's bottom joint connection is used. The damper is located between solid beam and Chevron type braces and its connection is considered like rigid to be able to observe its tension. Finally, a joint is used to define the column's leg support. Since, in the connection point and also the column's leg moment become zero and just frame behave exactly the same as simple frame in reciprocal cycle and the damper with changes in its non-linear shapes causes energy dissipation in system. For this purpose, the transmission in 1, 2, 3 are closed ($U_1=U_2=U_3=0$) and rotation are free ($UR_1=UR_2=UR_3 \neq 0$) but rotations are free to ban support from having moment. In figure (3) the modeled frame in Abaqus software and in figure (4) the mesh method on solid part is shown.



Figure 4. Mesh method on solid part




Figure 3. Modeled frame in Abaqus software

To investigate the frame behavior the cyclic load of figure (2) graph has been used and also the properties of the equipments which are used in the present research are defined as what is presented in table (3).




Figure 5. Applied loading diagram

Table 3. Material properties

Steel type	Young module kg/cm ²	Poisson ratio	Stress-strain curve property		Mass
			Plastic strain	Yield stress	
ST-37	2.1×10 ⁶	0.3	0 0.02	2400 4500	7.8×10 ⁻⁶

The hysteresis loop of the frames equipped with E₁ to E₈ type dampers are presented as it is explained in graph (2).




Figure6. Hysteresis loop of loading-displacement of the frame

THE ANALYSIS RESULTS

Generally, considering the graphs presented above and examining seismic parameters such as ductility coefficient, system equal damping, first stiffness, second stiffness and the amount of energy dissipation in ring, E_4 type damper is suggested. With a more exact examination of this type of damper it is concluded that system damping increases from 2% to 26%. Even with the application of the weakest ring E_7 , damping increases up to 17% and also E_4 type damper compare with other types include fatter hysteresis and this indicates more energy dissipation compare with other rings. Through applying this ring the frame hysteric

energy dissipation amount is equal to 52600 kg-cm. in terms of softness, it can be said that the thicker the ring diameter, the structure will be softer, in the way that with an increase in rings' diameter, the hysteresis curve will be closer to the horizontal axis and the first stiffness (Elastic stiffness) and also the second stiffness decreases. Therefore, it can be understood that the ring's diameter is directly related to structure softness. Also, by increasing the ring's diameter, the structure bearing capacity will be reduced and will have a reverse correlation. In the investigation of the non-linear of the damper E4 type, it can be said that the frame in 2mm displacement will show a non-linear behavior of the damper in the frame.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, due to some studies and investigations on the impact of EADAS damper attachment to CHEVRON type brace steel frame we found that:

- a) All works in elastic-plastic and plastic in damper have done and other members such as beam, columns and braces remain in the elastic region.
- b) With the addition of EADAS damper to steel frame, the system damping will increase between the ranges of 17% to 26% which this percentage in E₄ type reaches to its maximum that means 26%.
- c) With a more exact study of the frames hysteresis curves we came to the conclusion that E₄ curve is fatter than other curves, therefore, it shows a more energy dissipation in that frame.

In general it can be said that adding the damper ring to the desired structure can lead to the improvement of the seismic parameters of that frame in the time of earthquake. It is also possible to use this type of damper for resisting steel frames. Moreover, the damper is not a structural member. And with the focused damage on it, it can act like a structural fuse. After the earthquake, if the device (damper) damages, it can be replaced with lower cost and time.

REFERENCES

- ABAQUS, Inc. 2004. ABAQUS Analysis User's Manual, Version 6.8.1, Pawtucket, Rhode Island.
- Hanson RD, Aiken ID, Nims DK, Richter PJ, Bachman RE. 1993. State – of – the Art and Practice in Seismic Energy Dissipation, Proceeding of ATC 17-1 Seminar on Seismic Isolation, Passive Energy Dissipation and Active Control, Applied Technology Council, 68-79, San Francisco, California.
- Kelly JM, Skinner RI, Heine AJ. 1972.. Mechanisms of Energy Absorption in Special Devices for use in Earthquake Resistant Structures , Bulletin of N.Z. Society for Earthquake Engineering , Vol.5 , NO.3 , September
- SAP.2000. Non-linear. Version 14. Computer software. Computers and Structures, Inc. Berkley, CA.
- Soong T, Dargush GF. 1997. Passive Energy Dissipation Systems in Structural Engineering, John Willey & Sons Ltd., New York, USA.
- Stiemer SF, Godden WG, Kelly JM. 1981. Experimental Behavior of Spatial Piping System With Steel Energy Absorbers Subjected to a Simulated Differential Seismic Input, Report NO. UCB/EERC – 81/09, Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California, Berkeley, CA, July
- Tsai KC, Chen HW, Hong CP, Su YF. 1993. Design of Steel Triangular Plate Energy Absorbers for Seismic Resistant Construction, Earthquake Spectra, 1993, 9 (3), 505-528, California, USA.
- Whittaker A, Bertero V, Alonso J, Thompson C. 1989. Earthquake Simulator Testing of Steel Plate Added Damping and Stiffness Elements, Report No. UCB/EERC – 89/02, Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California, Berkeley, January
- Xia C, Hanson RD. 1992. Influence of ADAS Element Parameters on Building Seismic Response, J. Structural Engineering, Vol. 118 , NO. 7, July